	Case 2:05-cv-01829-RSL Docume	ent 51	Filed 10/30/06	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
8	MART LIIKANE,				
9	Plaintiff,				
10	V.		Case No. C05-18		
11	CITY OF SEATTLE, et al.,		ORDER DENYIN OR RECONSID		
12	Defendants.				
13					
14	This matter comes before the Court or	a plain	riff's Paspansa to	Order Declining to	
15	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's Response to Order Declining to Recuse (Dkt #40) Plaintiff asserts that the Court erred in denying his motion to recuse				
10					
17	The Court issued its order declining to voluntarily recuse on September 21, 2006. The motion was then referred to the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman for review. Judge				
18	Pechman denied plaintiff's motion to recuse on September 27, 2006.				
19	The Court construes plaintiff's Response as a motion for reconsideration.				
20	However, it is untimely. Local Rule 7(h). Furthermore, plaintiff has not shown manifest				
21	error in the prior ruling or shown new facts or legal authority which could not have been				
22	brought to the Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. <u>Id.</u>				
23	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -				
24					
2526	ORDER DENYING MOTION				
۷۵	FOR RECONSIDERATION - 1				

	Case 2:05-cv-01829-RSL Document 51 Filed 10/30/06 Page 2 of 2				
1	Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.				
2 3	DATED this 30th day of October, 2006.				
4	$M \sim c / c$				
56	MMS (asuk) Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge				
7	Officed States District Judge				
8 9					
10					
11 12					
13					
14 15					
16					
17 18					
19					
20					

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - 2